

---

## A comparative study of the anti-settlement properties of mytilid shells

A.V Bers, G.S Prendergast, C.M Zürn, L Hansson, R.M Head and J.C Thomason

*Biol. Lett.* 2006 **2**, 88-91  
doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2005.0389

---

### References

[This article cites 15 articles](#)

<http://rsbl.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/2/1/88.full.html#ref-list-1>

### Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right-hand corner of the article or click [here](#)

---

To subscribe to *Biol. Lett.* go to: <http://rsbl.royalsocietypublishing.org/subscriptions>

---

# A comparative study of the anti-settlement properties of mytilid shells

A. V. Bers<sup>1,\*</sup>, G. S. Prendergast<sup>2</sup>, C. M. Zürn<sup>2</sup>,  
L. Hansson<sup>3</sup>, R. M. Head<sup>4</sup> and J. C. Thomason<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Leibniz Institute of Marine Sciences, Kiel University,  
24105 Kiel, Germany

<sup>2</sup>School of Biology, University of Newcastle upon Tyne,  
Newcastle NE1 7RU, UK

<sup>3</sup>Danish Institute for Fisheries Research, Kavalergården, 6,  
DK-2920 Charlottelund, Denmark

<sup>4</sup>Materials Technology Division, TNO Industry, Bevesierweg  
(Harsens), PO Box 05, 1780 AM Den Helder, The Netherlands

\*Author for correspondence (vbers@ifjm-geomar.de).

**Marine organisms have evolved defence mechanisms to prevent epibiosis. This study investigated the anti-settlement properties of natural periostracal microtopographies of two mytilid species, *Mytilus edulis* (from North, Baltic and White Seas) and *Perna perna* (from the SW Atlantic). Resin replicas of shells were exposed to cyprids of the barnacle *Semibalanus balanoides*. Replicas with intact isotropic microtopographies and smooth controls were much less fouled than roughened anisotropic surfaces. This indicates that in both *M. edulis* and *P. perna* the periostracum possesses a generic anti-settlement property, at least against *S. balanoides* cyprids, which is not regionally adapted. Such a potential globally effective anti-settlement mechanism possibly contributes to the invasive success of Mytilidae.**

**Keywords:** mytilidae; microtopography; epibiosis; mussels

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Settlement of marine larvae is not a stochastic process and many species show some degree of choice (Keough & Downes 1982). Choices are made in response to diverse physical and chemical cues and may be highly specific (Rittschof & Costlow 1989), causing intense settlement pressure on any substratum in the sea, including other organisms. Epibiosis is a major problem for marine organisms, and yet the surfaces of most species are rarely completely overgrown. Since epibiosis may have harmful effects (Wahl 1997a), many marine species have evolved chemical, physical or mechanical defence mechanisms (Wahl 1989). The shells of epibenthic bivalves offer substantial space for larvae; however, the shells of mytilids often appear less fouled than adjacent non-biological substrata (Wahl *et al.* 1998; Bers & Wahl 2004).

Given that epibiosis reduces fitness (Wahl 1997b), then we might expect that surfaces of endemic species might be adapted for preventing settlement of the local epibiota. Conversely, more cosmopolitan species should exhibit a generalized antifouling activity. As the antifouling capacity of the shells of the mussels

*Mytilus edulis* (Wahl *et al.* 1998; Bers & Wahl 2004) and *M. galloprovincialis* (Scardino *et al.* 2003) is related to the texture of the periostracum, then there is the possibility that periostracal textures may be adapted to locally abundant epibionts. Hence, the aim of this study was to investigate the anti-settlement properties of natural microtopographies of shells from different populations of mytilids and species with regard to one epibiont, the cyprid of the acorn barnacle *Semibalanus balanoides*. Shells from three different populations of the blue mussel *M. edulis*, and from one population of the brown mussel *Perna perna* were used in this study. Both of these species are cosmopolitan in northern and southern hemispheres, respectively. *P. perna* was chosen in order to include a closely related, but non-*Mytilus*, mytilid in the study as a reference point.

## 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

*M. edulis* valves were obtained from the Baltic Sea (Kiel Fjord, Germany, 54°22'N, 10°9'E), White Sea (Matryonin Island, Russia, 66°18'N, 33°40'E), and North Sea (Hartlepool Marina, UK, 54°41'N, 1°11'W). *P. perna* valves were obtained from the south-west Atlantic (Itaipu beach, Brazil, 22°56'S, 43°03'W). All sites were sheltered bays.

To quantify the effect of periostracal microtopographies on cyprid settlement separately from other possible surface properties, resin replicas of valves were made. This method gives sub-micrometre replication and is non-toxic (Marrs *et al.* 1995). Microfouling on the shells was removed with a soft toothbrush and sterile filtered seawater (Sartorius 0.8 µm cellulose nitrate filter). Casts of shells were made using Kerr's Extrude Wash Type 3 (KERR, USA) and high resolution resin replicas were made using DEVCON 2-TON epoxy resin (DEVCON, UK). Replicas were coloured uniform grey using Coelan Farbpaste (COELAN, Germany) and cured for 12–18 h at room temperature. Smooth control surfaces were made by sealing a second resin replica of the same individual shell with resin to give a glassy smooth surface. Rough control surfaces were made by standardized roughening of a third resin replica (Grade 70/Grit M2 abrasive paper, English Abrasives & Chemical Limited, UK). An experimental triplet comprised a smooth, natural and rough replica and three triplets were made for each provenance, each from a different individual's right or left valve.

Field experiments were performed from 5th to 18th April 2003 at Keppel Pier, Millport, Clyde Sea, UK (55°45'N, 4°54'W). No other species of barnacle settle at this time and the large numbers of cyprids exclude all other non-barnacle epibionts (Hills & Thomason 1998; Hansson *et al.* 2003).

Replicas in each triplet were fixed 2 cm apart on grey PVC sheet using non-toxic silicone adhesive. Triplets were randomized across the PVC sheet and the sheet attached intertidally (2.05 m above chart datum) in the middle of the *S. balanoides* settlement zone using 8 mm bolts. Digital photographs of each replica were taken every low tide for 25 tides (Canon D30 camera, 100 mm lens). Cyprids and metamorphs were counted using ImageTool 3.0 only in the central 1 cm<sup>2</sup> of each replica to reduce edge effects.

Microtopographies were examined by SEM. Three replicas from each provenance were sputter coated with a 20 nm thick gold–palladium alloy and viewed with a Zeiss DSM 940 SEM. Additionally, a 4 mm profile of each replica in one triplet was quantified with a Uniscan OSP100a laser profilometer.

Data for the last tide (25) were tested for normality, transformed ((count + 1)<sup>0.07</sup>) and analysed using ANOVA (SPSS v.11): within-subjects factor was surface (natural, smooth, rough), between subjects factor was provenance, and the response was count. Sphericity of the data was assessed using Mauchly's test and where they were aspherical, Greenhouse–Geisser adjustments of *F* were used. All variances were homogeneous (Levene's test, *p* > 0.05).

## 3. RESULTS

Valves from each provenance featured a rippled periostracal microtopography, with a wavelength of 1.5–2.0 µm running orthogonally to the growth rings of the shell (figure 1). There was no significant






Figure 2. Barnacle settlement on natural, smooth and rough replicas of (a) *Perna perna* (Brazil), (b) *Mytilus edulis* (Russia), (c) *Mytilus edulis* (UK), (d) *Mytilus edulis* (Germany). Error bars indicate s.e.

Table 1. Contrast test with natural surface as reference level.

source	surface	d.f.	F-value	p-value
surface	natural versus smooth	1	1.802	0.216
	smooth versus rough	1	42.076	<0.001

model if  $p > 0.1$ . The final model was tide + surface + tide  $\times$  surface. There were significant interactions between surface and tide ( $F_{6,04,66.4} = 9.3$ ,  $p < 0.001$ , table 1). An *a priori* contrast test (tide 1 = reference) showed that significant differences ( $p < 0.001$ ) only occurred after tide 4 (figure 2). These results show that intense settlement leads to rapid differences between surfaces.

#### 4. DISCUSSION

This simple field assay-based study revealed remarkable similarities in the anti-settlement properties of mytilid shells against larvae of *S. balanoides*. There was no difference between smooth control and natural surfaces for both species, as well as no differences between *M. edulis* shells from the Baltic, North and White Seas. In all cases smooth controls and natural surfaces were much less fouled than the rough control surfaces, and although none remained completely unfouled, this difference was seen after only four tides. As the magnitude of the surface topographies was the same for both natural and roughed valves, then this result is attributable to the change from a natural isotropic microtopography to the anisotropic roughened surfaces. It is known that the periostracum prevents boring organisms damaging the shell structure (Harper & Skelton 1993; Kaehler 1999) and it is now also known that intact periostracal textures maintain general fitness by reducing fouling (Scardino & de Nys 2004). External surfaces of most mollusc shells are generally rough. This is probably the ancestral condition and is governed by the difficulty of producing and maintaining a very smooth surface without frequent maintenance by the mantle. Mytilids appear to have adapted to reduce epibiosis by evolving an isotropic periostracum, not a smooth one. The consistency of these results indicates that all populations of *M. edulis* may possess an anti-settlement property, at least against *S. balanoides* cyprids, even when this species is absent, i.e. in the Baltic, where the dominant barnacle is *Balanus improvisus*.

Hills & Thomason (1998) demonstrated that *S. balanoides* cyprids prefer surface roughness about the size of the larval body (0.5–1 mm), and do not like very smooth surfaces. They did not test rugosities at the scale presented here where the roughened control and natural shells both had roughness  $\sim 14 \mu\text{m}$ , somewhere between 35–70 times smaller than those tested by Hills & Thomason (1998). These results clearly show that cyprids of *S. balanoides* will settle on topographies at the micrometre scale as long as they are anisotropic.

Thus, it can be cautiously inferred that the periostracal topography has anti-settlement activity that is not locally adapted. Additional support for this view comes from the similarity not only between *M. edulis* and *P. perna* as found by this study, but also from the similarity between these two species and *M. galloprovincialis*, which also has antifouling properties (Scardino *et al.* 2003; Scardino & de Nys 2004).

Artificial isotropic microtopographies have been shown to reduce barnacle settlement by reducing exploration time (Berntsson *et al.* 2000). It is therefore possible that the generalized anti-settlement property reported here is a reflection of this behaviour. It is possible that isotropic microtopographies will confer a general protection against the settlement of all barnacle larvae.

Very little is known about biogeographical differences in defences of marine invertebrates (Becerro *et al.* 2003). Since the prevention of epibiosis, and

hence maintenance of fitness, is essential, anti-settlement defences with global effectiveness would allow the invasion of new areas and may have contributed to the evolutionary and invasive capability of the mytilids. Understanding how these microtopographies function is attracting increasing attention given the urgent need to find alternatives to chemically active antifouling coatings (Andersson *et al.* 1999).

Special thanks to Bernardo A. P. da Gama and Sergey Dobretsov for collecting mussels and Alan Henderson for access to Hartlepool Marina.

- Andersson, M., Berntsson, K., Jonsson, P. & Gateholm, P. 1999 Microtextured surfaces: towards macrofouling resistant coatings. *Biofouling* **14**, 167–178.
- Becerro, M., Thacker, R., Turon, X., Uriz, M. & Paul, V. 2003 Biogeography of sponge chemical ecology: comparisons of tropical and temperate defenses. *Oecologia* **135**, 91–101.
- Berntsson, K., Andreasson, H., Jonsson, P., Larsson, L., Ring, K., Petronis, K. & Gateholm, P. 2000 Reduction of barnacle recruitment on micro-textured surfaces: analysis of effective topographic characteristics and evaluation of skin friction. *Biofouling* **16**, 245–261.
- Bers, A. & Wahl, M. 2004 The influence of natural surface microtopographies on fouling. *Biofouling* **20**, 43–51. (doi:10.1080/08927010410001655533)
- Hansson, L., Hudson, I., Seddon, R., Shaw, O. & Thomason, J. 2003 Massive recruitment of the barnacle *Semibalanus balanoides* in the Clyde Sea (Scotland, UK) in the spring of 2000. *J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. UK* **83**, 923–924. (doi:10.1017/S0025315403008063h)
- Harper, E. & Skelton, P. 1993 A defensive value of the thickened periostracum in the Mytiloidea. *Véliger* **36**, 36–42.
- Hills, J. & Thomason, J. 1998 The effect of scales of surface roughness on the settlement of barnacle (*Semibalanus balanoides*) cyprids. *Biofouling* **12**, 57–69.
- Kaehler, S. 1999 Incidence and distribution of phototrophic shell-degrading endoliths of the brown mussel *Perna perna*. *Mar. Biol.* **135**, 505–514. (doi:10.1007/s002270050651)
- Keough, M. & Downes, B. 1982 Recruitment of marine invertebrates: the role of active larval choices and early mortality. *Oecologia* **54**, 346–352. (doi:10.1007/BF00380003)
- Marrs, S., Thomason, J., Cowling, M. & Hodgkiess, T. 1995 A replica method for the study of marine biofilms. *J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. UK* **75**, 759–762.
- Rittschof, D. & Costlow, J. 1989 Surface determination of macroinvertebrate larval settlement. In *Twenty first European marine biology symposium* (ed. R. Klekowski, E. Styczynska-Jurewicz & L. Falkowsky), pp. 155–163. Gdansk, Poland: Polish Academy of Sciences, Institute for Oceanology.
- Scardino, A. & de Nys, R. 2004 Fouling deterrence on the bivalve shell *Mytilus galloprovincialis*: a physical phenomenon? *Biofouling* **20**, 249–257. (doi:10.1080/08927010400016608)
- Scardino, A., de Nys, R., Ison, O., O'Connor, W. & Steinberg, P. 2003 Microtopography and antifouling properties of the shell surface of the bivalve molluscs *Mytilus galloprovincialis* and *Pinctata imbricata*. *Biofouling* **19**, 221–230. (doi:10.1080/0892701021000057882)
- Wahl, M. 1989 Marine epibiosis I. Fouling and antifouling. Some basic aspects. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **58**, 175–189.
- Wahl, M. 1997a Living attached: aufwuchs, fouling, epibiosis. In *Fouling organisms of the Indian Ocean: biology and control technology* (ed. R. Nogabushanam & M. Thompson), pp. 31–83. New Delhi: Oxford & IBH Publishing Company.
- Wahl, M. 1997b Increased drag reduces growth of snails: comparison of flume and *in situ* experiments. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **151**, 291–293.
- Wahl, M., Kröger, K. & Lenz, M. 1998 Non-toxic protection against epibiosis. *Biofouling* **12**, 205–226.